



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/718,337	11/19/2003	Allen Borchardt	PC25603A	9247
28940 .	7590 08/19/2005		EXAMINER	
AGOURON PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. 10777 SCIENCE CENTER DRIVE			OWENS, AMELIA A	
SAN DIEGO,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1625	
			DATE MAILED: 08/19/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/718,337	BORCHARDT ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Amelia A. Owens	1625			
	The MAILING DATE of this commun.	cation appears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence address	••		
Period fo	•	OD DEDI V 10 OET TO EVDID				
THE   - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI INSIGNS of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commits period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3) period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months are dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, unication. 0) days, a reply within the statutory minimun atutory period will apply and will expire SIX ( will, by statute, cause the application to bec	may a reply be timely filed  n of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.		
Status						
1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <u>6-7-2005</u> .				
2a)□	•	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition	for allowance except for forma	matters, prosecution as to the meri	ts is		
	closed in accordance with the practic	ce under Ex parte Quayle, 193	5 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 5-32 is/are pending in the a	pplication.	•			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 30 and 31	is/are withdrawn from consider	ation.			
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•				
6)⊠	Claim(s) 5-29 and 32 is/are rejected	,	•	•		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)∐	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	tion and/or election requiremen	nt.			
Applicati	ion Papers					
9)[	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. Note the att	ached Office Action or form PTO-15	2.		
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
, —	Acknowledgment is made of a claim  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority  2. Certified copies of the priority	documents have been receive	d.			
	3. Copies of the certified copies	of the priority documents have	been received in this National Stage	Э		
	application from the Internatio	nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))				
* \$	See the attached detailed Office actio	n for a list of the certified copie	s not received.			
Attachmen		<b>,,□</b>	nious Cummons (PTO 442)			
2) Notice 3) Information	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or er No(s)/Mail Date	Pap Pap	rview Summary (PTO-413) er No(s)/Mail Date ice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) er:			
•		. —				

Art Unit: 1625

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 5-31 are pending. Claims 30-31 remain withdrawn, 37 CFR 1.142(b).

Applicants comments have been considered and the rejections of record dropped. The indication of allowable subject matter is withdrawn in view of the following rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 5-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to use the invention.

There are many factors to be considered when determining whether there is sufficient evidence to support a determination that a disclosure does not satisfy the enablement requirement and whether any necessary experimentation is "undue". These factors include 1) the breadth of the claims, 2) the nature of the invention, 3) the state of the prior art, 4) the level of one of ordinary skill, 5) the level of predictability in the art, 6) the amount of direction provided by the inventor, 7) the existence of working examples, and 8) the quantity of experimentation needed to make or use the invention based on the content of the disclosure. In re Wands, 858 F.2d 731, 737, 8 USPQ2d 1400, 1404 (Fed. Cir. 1988).

The nature of the invention: The nature of the invention is compounds for the treatment of hepatitis C virus (HCV). See claims.

Art Unit: 1625

The state of the prior art and predictability: The state of the prior art is that it involves screening in vitro and in vivo to determine which compounds exhibit the desired pharmacological activities (i.e. what compounds can treat which specific disease). There is no absolute predictability even in view of the seemingly high level of skill in the art. The existence of these obstacles establishes that the contemporary knowledge in the art would prevent one of ordinary skill in the art from accepting any therapeutic regimen on its face. It is noted that the pharmaceutical art is unpredictable, requiring each embodiment to be individually assessed for physiological activity. In re Fisher, 427 F. 2d 833, 166 USPQ 18 (CCPA 1970) indicates that the more unpredictable an area is, the more specific enablement is necessary in order to satisfy the statute. In the instant case, the instantly claimed invention is highly unpredictable given the unpredictability of treating HCV, in vivo or in vitro.

Guidance and working examples: Compounds according to the invention have been made. The assay test is noted. While screening test in an enzyme assay provides data in picking and choosing lead compounds for further testing, screening test per se does not provide sufficient operational guidance in an 'individual' in patho-physiological environment.

It is not clear that the assays correlate to any form of HCV treatment. There is no evidence of functional treatment, i.e. no correlation to treatment in humans. Applicants have not shown the claimed compounds effective to treat HCV. Applicants' assertions either that the compounds would be effective *or* that the compounds are effective are not enough. It has yet to be established that the claimed compounds have a viable utility which is why they are included in the rejection.

Art Unit: 1625

The 'how to use' requirements of 35 USC 112 are not met by disclosing only a pharmaceutical activity of the clamed compounds if one skilled in the art would not be able to use the compounds effectively without undue experimentation. Where a therapeutic effect on humans is disclosed, such as treating HCV, more than mere assertions or screening data is needed unless one of ordinary skill in the art would accept the utility statement as obviously valid and correct. The instantly claimed compounds are not structurally similar to known compounds having the same activity and their pharmacological properties can not be predicted from their chemical structure, thus a disclosure that they possess a particular activity is not enough. See Tan et al Hepatitis C THERAPEUTICS: CURRENT STATUS AND EMERGING STRATEGIES, Nature Reviews, Drug Discover, Vol. 1, November 2002, 867-881, page 871, Table 1, teach several drugs (not structurally similar to the claimed compounds) which are used to treat HCV.

Thus, the specification fails to provide sufficient support of the use of the compounds of the claim for the treatment of HCV. As a result necessitating one of ordinary skill to perform an exhaustive search for which compounds of the claims can treat HCV in order to practice the claimed invention.

Claims 5-29,32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The specification does not teach how to use the compounds. In this field of highly unpredictable, extremely difficult art, the specification provided no specific compound with data

Art Unit: 1625

of dosage or efficacy information, and thus lacked description and enablement of how the claimed scope can be operated. See above.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Amelia A. Owens whose telephone number is 571-272-0690. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cecilia Tsang can be reached on 571-272-0562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Amelia A. Owens Primary Examiner Art Unit 1625